

July 29, 2002

Letter of Appeal

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary 445 - 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Western New York Regional

Information Center

Erie 1:30CES

West Senecs

New York 14224

355 Harlem Boald

Re: CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG - 5 2002

FCC - MAILROOM

Block 5 Funding Request Rejection

Applicant Form Identifier: Y5-124872 – 1 Form 471 Application Number: 327098 Funding Year 5: 07/01/2002 - 6/30/2003 Billed Entity: Albion Central School District

Contact Name: Susan C. Melancon

WNYRIC at Erie 1 BOCES

355 Harlem Road

West Seneca, NY 14224

716 821 7455 716 821 7394 Fax

smelancon@erie1.wnyric.org

Db 345 004 3454

Ph 716**.**821**.**7155 Fx 716**.**821**.**7204 **Block 5 Funding Request:**

Category of Service (Block 5, Item 11): Telecommunications Service

Service Provider Identification Number: 143016242 Service Provider Name: Paetec Communications Inc.

Funding Commitment Request (Item 23, Column K): \$17,483.75 Reason for Denial: The FRN references a Form 470 that has not been

certified.

FRN: 876936

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

Dear Sirs:

The above-mentioned Funding Request was denied by the SLD effective July 16, 2002 because they did not receive a signed Block 5: Certification and Signature page. We are submitting this Appeal to the FCC in the hope that the FCC will view our request with more favorable discernment.

On November 29, 2001, the SLD informed the Albion CSD in the Form 470-Receipt Notification Letter that they had not yet received the signed Block 5 Certification Page. The SLD returned the unsigned Block 5 Certification Page to the district. Shawn Liddle, E-Rate Contact person for the Albion CSD, signed and dated it and returned it to the SLD via US mail on December 3, 2001. (Copy Attached.) The SLD now states they never received the form and has denied the entire application. This decision denies the applicant E-Rate funds for which it is fully entitled; therefore we are submitting this appeal to the FCC for rectification.

We offer the following information to substantiate our request:

1. Albion Central School District is a small, rural school district located in Western New York. Mr. Shawn Liddle, the Assistant Superintendent for Business, and the contact person on the Form 470 for the Albion CSD, has participated in the E-Rate program since its inception. He is very

Oattaraugus Allegany BOCES Ene 1 BCCES Erie 270 hautaugua Cattaraugus BOCES Orleans Miagara BOCES

Servina

Steuben

Allegatily BOCES

- familiar with the rules of the program and the consequences of not following the rules. His past contacts with the SLD reflects the diligence and conscientiousness you expect from your applicants.
- 2. Mr. Liddle, a responsible assistant superintendent, would not neglect a letter from the SLD asking for a signature. Realizing the sensitive and timely nature of the E-Rate application process, Mr. Liddle signed and returned the Block 5: Certification and Signature document immediately upon receiving it.
- 3. Mr. Liddle did everything satisfactorily to complete the Form 470 certification process. The failure of the Block 5: Certification and Signature page to arrive at the SLD was not the responsibility of Mr. Liddle, but that of the US Postal Service. The Block 5 Certification Page arrived at the Albion CSD by regular mail, therefore Mr. Liddle return it the same way. Nowhere in the SLD instructions are applicants advised to submit correspondence by certified mail. If the US Postal Service lost the signed Block 5 and did not deliver it to the SLD, the billed entity should not be penalized for circumstances beyond its control.
- 4. The US Postal Service has never returned the certification document to the Albion CSD, therefore we could assume that the document was delivered securely into the hands of the SLD, and subsequently lost by the SLD. If this is the case, the billed entity should not be penalized for the negligence of the SLD. This is a second possibility for the loss of the document, and again, it is beyond the control of the applicant.

Conclusion

The FY 2002 Application for the Albion CSD was not certified because the SLD states they never received the signed Block 5: Certification and Signature page. We are appealing this denial and we request a reversal of the denial because the billed entity (1) **did** submit a signed Block 5 certification page via US mail (attached,) (2) has met all the filing requirements, (3) fully qualifies for funding, (4) has established a history of responsible performance, and (5) should not be penalized for circumstances beyond its control. The FCC should rule in favor of the billed entity because the SLD has set precedence for assisting applicants who legitimately qualify for funds, and a denial of this request would not conform to the goals and purpose of the E-Rate program.

We understand that this approval only allows the Form 471 application to be processed, and that our application must be further reviewed for a funding decision.

Very Truly Yours, 🛝

Susan C. Melancon

Alternate Contact Person for Mat Dziuba

Attachments:

Copy of signed Block 5: Certification and Signature page

AUG - 52002

i	
	, ·
pplicant's Form identifier <u>Y5-470-124872</u>	
hone Number (716) 589, 2082 5	AFC6 MALLHOU

ntity Number 124872 Contact Person Shawn	Liddle	Appli Phon	cant's Form identifier <u>Y5</u> - e Number <u>(716) 589-2082</u>	470-124872 (53-5) CS-9 MAU-	
a X schools u Seconda	tification and cludes: (Check one or builder the statutory definity Education Act of 1965 es, and do not have end	oth.) Itions of elementary and 5, 20 U.S.C. Secs, 880	d secondary schools foun 1(14) and (25), that do no 0 million; and/or	nd in the Elementary and ot operate as for-profit	
b libraries of Services complete	r library consortia eligib and Technology Act of 1	ligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are school (including, but not limited to elementary and secondary schools,			
a LI individual b XI higher-lev	technology plans for us el technology plans for a	ing the services reques using the services requ	ving services under this a ted in the application; an ested in the application; o cal and long distance tel	ог	
a 🔀 Technolog b 🔲 Technolog	ју plan(s) has/have bee ју plan(s) will be approv	n approved by a state of red by a state or other a	r other authorized body. uthorized body.	tatus, check both a and b): technology plan needed.	
I certify that the seducational purpo	ervices the applicant pu ses and will not be sold	rchases at discounts pr d, resold, or transferred	ovided by 47 U.S.C. Sec in consideration for mone	c. 254 will be used solely for ey or any other thing of value.	
securing access t	upport under this support o all of the resources, in the services purchased	icluding computers, trai	onal upon the school(s) on ning, software, maintena	or library(les) I represent ince, and electrical connections	
				, that I have examined this contained herein are true.	
5 Signature	Show of	Alb	26 Date	12/03/2001	
7 Printed name	of authorized perso	n Shawn Liddle			
8 Title or position	of authorized pers	on Clerk of the Brd	of Ed.		
	nber of authorized p	<i>5</i> 85	689-2050 , ext. 20	82	
sons willfully making	alse statements on this	form can be punished b	y fine or forfeiture, under	the Communications Act,	

FCC Form 470 #: 927330000376677

Albion CSD